

Serial No. 10/711,117
Filed: 08/25/2004
Page 2 of 2

Examiner: Lee D. Wilson
Group Art Unit: 3723

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed February 11, 2008, the Examiner has required Applicant to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims will be restricted if no generic claim is found to be allowable. The Examiner has identified the following patentably distinct species:

Group I, claims 1-31; and
Group II, claims 32-54.

Applicants believe the Examiner made a clerical error and meant Group I to encompass claims 1-37 and Group II to encompass claims 38-54. Applicants hereby elect claims 38-54, with traverse. In the event the Examiner did not make a mistake, Applicants elect claims 32-54, with traverse.

An action on the merits is respectfully requested. If there are any remaining issues which the Examiner believes may be resolved in an interview, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Gabriel S. Vander Baan

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /John E McGarry/

John E. McGarry, Reg. No. 22,360
McGARRY BAIR PC
32 Market Ave. SW, Suite 500
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503
(616) 742-3500

G0360931